Monte Carlo Tree Search guided by Symbolic Advice for MDPs



Université Libre de Bruxelles

September 16, 2020 HIGHLIGHTS 2020

Markov Decision Process



Markov Decision Process



Link with infinite-horizon average reward for H large enough



Iterative construction of a sparse tree with value estimates



Iterative construction of a sparse tree with value estimates
Selection of a new node → simulation



- Iterative construction of a sparse tree with value estimates
- Selection of a new node \rightsquigarrow simulation \rightsquigarrow update of the estimates



With UCT (Kocsis & Szepesvári, 2006) as the selection strategy:

- After a given number of iterations *n*, MCTS outputs the best action
- The probability of choosing a suboptimal action converges to zero
- v_i converges to the real value of a_i at a speed of $(\log n)/n$



An advice is a subset of Paths^H(s₀)

• Defined symbolically as a logical formula φ (reachability or safety property, LTL formula over finite traces, regular expression ...)



An advice is a subset of Paths^H(s₀)

• Defined symbolically as a logical formula φ (reachability or safety property, LTL formula over finite traces, regular expression ...)



• An advice is a subset of Paths^H(s_0)

• Defined symbolically as a logical formula φ (reachability or safety property, LTL formula over finite traces, regular expression ...)



Strongly enforceable advice: can be enforced by controller if the MDP is seen as a game \rightarrow does not partially prune stochastic transitions



Strongly enforceable advice: can be enforced by controller if the MDP is seen as a game \rightarrow does not partially prune stochastic transitions



Strongly enforceable advice: can be enforced by controller if the MDP is seen as a game \rightarrow does not partially prune stochastic transitions

 \blacksquare The advice ψ can be encoded as a Boolean Formula

The advice ψ can be encoded as a Boolean Formula

QBF solver

• A first action a_0 is compatible with φ iff

 $\forall s_1 \exists a_1 \forall s_2 \dots, \ s_0 a_0 s_1 a_1 s_2 \dots \models \psi$

Inductive way of constructing paths that satisfy the strongly enforceable advice φ

The advice ψ can be encoded as a Boolean Formula

QBF solver

• A first action a_0 is compatible with φ iff

$$\forall s_1 \exists a_1 \forall s_2 \dots, \ s_0 a_0 s_1 a_1 s_2 \dots \models \psi$$

Inductive way of constructing paths that satisfy the strongly enforceable advice φ

Weighted sampling

- \blacksquare Simulation of safe paths according to ψ
- Weighted SAT sampling (Chakraborty, Fremont, Meel, Seshia, & Vardi, 2014)

MCTS under advice

MCTS under advice



Select actions in the unfolding pruned by a selection advice \(\varphi\)
Simulation is restricted according to a simulation advice \(\psi\)

Convergence properties

With UCT (Kocsis & Szepesvári, 2006) as the selection strategy:

- The probability of choosing a suboptimal action converges to zero
- v_i converges to the real value of a_i at a speed of $(\log n)/n$

The convergence properties are maintained:

- for all simulation advice
- for all selection advice which

Convergence properties

With UCT (Kocsis & Szepesvári, 2006) as the selection strategy:

- The probability of choosing a suboptimal action converges to zero
- v_i converges to the real value of a_i at a speed of $(\log n)/n$

The convergence properties are maintained:

- for all simulation advice
- for all selection advice which ...
 - are Strongly enforceable advice

Convergence properties

With UCT (Kocsis & Szepesvári, 2006) as the selection strategy:

- The probability of choosing a suboptimal action converges to zero
- v_i converges to the real value of a_i at a speed of $(\log n)/n$

The convergence properties are maintained:

- for all simulation advice
- for all selection advice which
 - are Strongly enforceable advice
 - satisfy an optimality assumption: does not prune all optimal actions

Experimental results

Experimental results



Figure: 9x21 maze, 4 random ghosts

Algorithm	% of win	% of loss	% of no result ¹	% of food eaten
MCTS	17	59	24	67
MCTS+Selection advice	25	54	21	71
MCTS+Simulation advice	71	29	0	88
MCTS+both advice	85	15	0	94
Human	44	56	0	75

¹after 300 steps

- Compiler LTL \rightarrow symbolic advice
- Study interactions with reinforcement learning techniques (and neural networks)
- Weighted advice

- Compiler LTL \rightarrow symbolic advice
- Study interactions with reinforcement learning techniques (and neural networks)
- Weighted advice

Thank You